REMARKS

Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration of this application as amended.

Claims 1, 35 and 45 have been amended. Claims 2-3, 5, 7 9, 11-13, 15-34, 36-37 and 39-44 have been cancelled without prejudice. No new claims have been added. Therefore, claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 35, 38 and 45-49 are presented for examination. The following remarks are in response to the final Office Action, mailed July 10, 2009.

Claims Objections

Claim 37 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claim 37 has been cancelled without prejudice. Therefore, Applicants contend that the rejection of claim 37 is moot.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 35, 38 and 45-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Kuansan, et al., EP 1 255 194 ("*Kuansan*") in view of Gottfurcht, et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,020,845 ("*Gottfurcht*").

Claim 1, as amended, recites:

A method comprising:
interpreting user input received at a client mobile device from a user, the
      interpreting including identifying a selection of at least one of a
      plurality of web interaction modes, each of the plurality of web
      interaction modes to perform interpretation of content on a server
      computer system and a client mobile device coupled with the
      server computer system, the plurality of web interaction modes
      including a focus mechanism;
identifying, via the focus mechanism, an active display element and
      applying the user input to the active display element, and focusing
      the client mobile device on the active display element;
transmitting the active display element to the server computer system such
      that real-time speech recognition is performed based on
      synchronization of the active display element with one or more

> speech elements of speech, the speech recognition to reduce speech
> computing load and eliminate speech dictation; and
> dynamically correcting grammar using the real-time speech recognition
> based on the synchronization of the active display element and the
> one or more speech elements.

(emphasis added)

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's characterization of the cited references and the pending claims. Nevertheless, Applicants propose additional amendments to the pending claims and provide the following remarks.

Kuansan disclose "a **markup language** for execution on a client device in a client/server system includes extensions for recognition" (abstract; emphasis added). *Kuansan* further discloses "the **extensions may be interpreted in two different 'modes' according to the capabilities of the device** upon which the browser is being executed on . . . [for example, in] a *first mode, 'object mode', the full capabilities are available* (paragraph 0043; emphasis provided). *Kuansan*'s technique relates to employing a **markup language for execution** on a client device and the **different modes** of *Kuansan* **are based on the capabilities of the client device**. For example, *Kuansan* further discloses "[t]he extensions can also be supported in a 'declarative mode' . . . [such as] a browser operating in a declarative mode is called a 'downlevel browser' and does not support full eventing and scripting capabilities" (paragraph 0044; emphasis added).

The Examiner acknowledges the deficiencies of *Kuansan* (e.g., *Kuansan* does not disclose focus mechanism) but relies on *Gottfurcht* for the alleged support. *Gottfurcht* discloses a web page having a link to a sister site to facilitate simplified navigation. Pages from the sister site are served responsive to actuation of the sister site link (*see* abstract). *Gottfurcht*'s focusing merely refers to focusing on a "*region that is enlarged relative to the regions which are not in focus . . .* for *web browsing in a television context*

where distance from the set may make reading the unscaled page difficult for impossible" (col. 5, lines 31-36). *Gottfurcht*'s focusing is completely irrelevant to <u>identifying, via the focus mechanism, *an active display element and applying the user input to the active display element*, and focusing the client mobile device on the active display element</u> and further <u>transmitting the active display element to the server computer system such that *real-time speech recognition is performed based on synchronization of the active display element with one or more speech elements of speech*, the speech recognition to reduce speech computing load and eliminate speech dictation</u> as recited by claim 1. There is no teaching or reasonable suggestion of <u>*real-time speed recognition* is performed based on *synchronization of the active display element with one or more speech elements of speech*, the speech recognition to *reduce speech computing load* and *eliminate speech dictation*</u> as recited by claim 1. Furthermore, *Kuansan* and *Gottfurcht*, neither individually nor when combined, teach or reasonably suggest <u>*dynamically correcting grammar using the real-time speech recognition* based on the synchronization of the active display element and the one or more speech elements</u> as recited by claim 1. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims.

Claims 35 and45 contain limitations similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly, for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect of claim 1, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection claims 35 and 45 and their dependent claims.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is hereby earnestly requested.

Invitation for a Telephone Interview

The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (303) 740-1980 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

Request for an Extension of Time

Applicants respectfully petition for an extension of time to respond to the outstanding Office Action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) should one be necessary. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 to cover the necessary fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a) for such an extension.

Charge our Deposit Account

Please charge any shortage to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: October 9, 2009   /Aslam A. Jaffery/
                             Aslam A. Jaffery
                             Reg. No. 51,841

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
7th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025-1030
(303) 740-1980